# Research article

# Assessing the heritability of attentional networks Jin Fan<sup>\*1</sup>, Yanhong Wu<sup>2</sup>, John A Fossella<sup>1</sup> and Michael I Posner<sup>1</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>Sackler Institute, Weill Medical College, Cornell University, New York, USA and <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychology, Peking University, Beijing, China

E-mail: Jin Fan\* - jif2004@med.cornell.edu; Yanhong Wu - wuyh@pku.edu.cn; John A Fossella - johnfossella@hotmail.com; Michael I Posner - mip2003@med.cornell.edu \*Corresponding author

Published: 14 September 2001

BMC Neuroscience 2001, 2:14

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2202/2/14

© 2001 Fan et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. Verbatim copying and redistribution of this article are permitted in any medium for any non-commercial purpose, provided this notice is preserved along with the article's original URL. For commercial use, contact info@biomedcentral.com

Received: 9 July 2001 Accepted: 14 September 2001

#### Abstract

**Background:** Current efforts to study the genetics of higher functions have been lacking appropriate phenotypes to describe cognition. One of the problems is that many cognitive concepts for which there is a single word (e.g. attention) have been shown to be related to several anatomical networks. Recently we have developed an Attention Network Test (ANT) that provides a separate measure for each of three anatomically defined attention networks. In this small scale study, we ran 26 pairs of MZ and DZ twins in an effort to determine if any of these networks show sufficient evidence of heritability to warrant further exploration of their genetic basis.

**Results:** The efficiency of the executive attention network, that mediates stimulus and response conflict, shows sufficient heritability to warrant further study. Alerting and overall reaction time show some evidence for heritability and in our study the orienting network shows no evidence of heritability.

**Conclusions:** These results suggest that genetic variation contributes to normal individual differences in higher order executive attention involving dopamine rich frontal areas including the anterior cingulate. At least the executive portion of the ANT may serve as a valid endophenotype for larger twin studies and subsequent molecular genetic analysis in normal subject populations.

### Background

In order to foster genetic studies there has been increased emphasis on the development of appropriate phenotypes to describe cognitive functions such as attention (see, for example, [1]). In general these efforts have used tasks that do not distinguish between different functions of attention. However, imaging studies have revealed quite specific anatomical networks for functions of attention such as orienting to sensory events, developing and maintaining the alert state and executive control used in resolving conflict between stimuli and responses (for a review of these networks see [2]). We seek to use this anatomical information to define appropriate endophenotypes for genetic studies of attention. Imaging studies show that the alerting network depends largely on frontal and parietal areas of the right hemisphere [3,4]. The orienting network has important involvement of superior and inferior parts of the parietal lobe in conjunction with frontal and subcortical structures related to eye movements [5]. The executive control network involves frontal areas including the anterior cingulate and lateral prefrontal cortex [6].

Each of the networks is also differentially dependent on a particular neuromodulator. Studies of alert monkeys suggest that the effectiveness of alerting produced by a warning signal can be eliminated by drugs that block noradrenaline [7]. Lesions of the cholinergic system [8] and of drugs blocking ACh transmission have effects on orienting of visual attention in monkeys [9]. The executive network involves dopamine rich areas of the prefrontal cortex and anterior cingulate. Lesions in the cell bodies of dopamine (DA) neurons [10] as well as in the terminals located in prefrontal cortex [11] result in cognitive deficits in executive function tasks.

There is considerable evidence that insults to parts of the brain containing these networks or to the neuromodulators involved can produce specific neurological or psychiatric deficits. For example, strokes to the posterior parietal lobe involved in orienting produce neglect of the contralesional space and specific deficits in tasks involved the orienting network [12]. Reductions in striatal dopamine seen in Parkinson's disease result in an inability to shift sets from one instruction to another, possibly reflecting a difficulty in control of conflict [13]. Many psychiatric disorders whose anatomical origins may not be well understood also show deficits in attention. For example, patients with schizophrenia exhibit difficulties in sensorimotor gating [14], smooth pursuit eye-tracking [15], set-shifting [16], and working memory tasks [17]. Children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) exhibit abnormal performance in sustained attention tasks [18] and studies on autism reveal slowed covert orienting of visual spatial attention [19]. Patients with Alzheimer's disease show covert orienting deficits in visual attention tasks [20]. Interestingly, many of these disorders show familial patterns of inheritance and increased concordance in monozygotic (MZ) vs. dizygotic (DZ) twins suggesting genetic origins [21].

There is evidence that some kinds of variation in attention in normal subject and patient populations involves genetic variation. Studies using the Continuous Performance Task (CPT) have shown that the d' signal detection component of CPT performance has a heritability among normal subjects of 0.49 [22]. The Span of Apprehension task (SPAN), a visual search task, has been shown to have an heritability among normal subjects of 0.65 [23] and the P/N ratio of the Spontaneous Selective Attention Task (SSAT) was shown to have an heritability among normal subjects of 0.41 [24]. Twin studies using discordant twins affected with schizophrenia show that spatial working memory, divided attention, choice reaction time and selective attention [25] and attentional set-shifting [26] are underlain by inherited factors. Studies on infants suggest that effortful control and duration of orienting are heritable as well [27].

Recent studies have proceeded to associate genetic variation with performance variation in attentional function. For example, persons homozygous for the ɛ4 allele *apoe* gene, who are asymptomatic, but at risk for Alzheimer's disease have been shown to have a specific deficit in orienting of attention that is in the same direction as the Alzheimer's patients [28]. Other studies using endophenotypic measures of attention have linked variation in the *chrna7* gene with sensorimotor gating performance [29] and variation in the *drd4* gene with attention deficits [30]. However, the tasks used in these studies have not generally involved either the orienting network alone, or the task involves an undefined combination of different networks.

In previous work we have provided an Attention Network Test (ANT) for measuring the efficiency of the alerting, orienting and conflict networks [31]. The advantage of this measure over other neuropsychological measures of attention is that it provides a rapid measure of the efficiency of each of the attention networks which have been linked to a specific anatomy and specific chemical modulators. The ANT task is a combination of the cued reaction time [32] and the flanker task [33]. Its simple design permits use with adults, children, non-human primates, and patients with various abnormalities of attention. Our previous paper [31] and other studies using the flanker task suggest that performance on this task follows a roughly normal distribution. Performance is also stable within normal adult subjects across a wide age range and not detectably different in males and females. It has also been shown that practice or previous experience has little impact on the attentional measures although the overall reaction time is reduced. In this small scale preliminary study we take a step toward the use of the ANT as an endophenotype in genetic studies by exploring the heritability of each of the networks studied by the test.

## Results

The mean efficiency scores for each of the three attention networks were calculated according to the operational definitions described below (see Materials and Methods). Table 1 shows means and standard deviations (SD) for each of the attention networks and overall reaction times (RT) separately for MZ and DZ twins.

The values obtained for the three attentional networks were similar to those found previously [31]. To determine if these values or the overall RT differed between members of a twin pair or between twin type (MZ or DZ), we carried out a 4 (three effects and mean RT)  $\times$  2 (2 twins in each pair)  $\times$  2 (MZ and DZ) analysis of variance (ANOVA) with twin type as between subject factor. There were no significant differences between twins in the pair, [F(1, 50) = 2.74, MSe = 912.98, p > .10], and twin type (MZ and DZ), [F (1, 50) = 2.79, MSe = 3553.27, p > .10].

	Alerting	Orienting	Conflict	Mean RT
MZ twins (n = 52)				
Mean (msec)	42	60	71	482
SD (msec)	16	14	25	50
DZ twins (n = 52)				
Mean (msec)	38	52	90	513
SD (msec)	15	19	38	78
Combined ( $n = 104$ )				
Mean (msec)	40	56	80	498
SD (msec)	16	17	34	67

Table I: Means and standard deviations (SD) for each of the attention network and mean RT.

Table 2: Correlation values between twin pairs of MZ and DZ twins for each network.

Twin type	Alerting	Orient- ing	Conflict	RT
MZ	.465*	.099	.727**	.740 <sup>**</sup> .659 <sup>**</sup>
DZ	.375	.395*	.281	.659**

Note: \* *p* < .05; \*\* *p* < .01

The study consisted of two sessions which permitted analysis of reliability in the present sample. The test-retest reliability for alerting, orienting, and conflict were .36, .41, and .81 respectively. They were significant (p < .01). Since the means of the two test sessions were used, the expected reliability composite of two measures were .53, .58, and .90 for alerting, orienting, and conflict respectively.

The alerting, orienting, and conflict scores may be influenced directly or indirectly by the overall mean RT. Generally one expects larger subtractions when the RTs are longer. In order to reduce these effects, ratio scores (effect divided by overall RT) were used in the correlation analysis and the estimations of the heritability of the three networks. Table 2 shows the correlation values between twin pairs of MZ and DZ twins for each network.

Heritability is generally thought to be the proportion of variance that can be attributed to genetic rather than strictly environmental factors. Most often it is estimated by comparing monozygotic and dizygotic twins [34]. Although there remains controversy in how purely genetic these calculation are [35] and the best way compute heritability [36] we chose to calculate heritability in two ways. First, using the classical approach, the proportion of variance attributed to additive genetic factors (narrow sense heritability) was estimated by doubling the difference in correlation between MZ and DZ twins. This approach provides a simple and reliable index for twin studies which vary across time and culture [37]. This method however, is ineffective at disentangling non-additive genetic factors and epistatic components as well and unique and shared environmental components. Table 3 shows the efficiency of the conflict network is heritable ( $h_F^2 = 0.89$ ,  $h_H^2 = .62$ ) while low heritabilities were observed for alerting and median reaction time ( $h_F$  $^{2}$  = 0.18 and 0.16 respectively, and  $h_{H}^{2}$  = 0.14 and 0.24 respectively). The orienting response shows no evidence of heritability. The orienting response shows a higher correlation in DZ twins than MZ twins

Heritability measure	Alerting	Orienting	Conflict	RT
$h_{-F}^{2} = 2 (r_{MZ} - r_{DZ})$	.18 (73, 1.10)	59 (-1.56, .41)	.89 (.09, 1.70)	.16(42, .75)
$h^{2}_{H} = (r_{MZ} - r_{DZ})/(1 - r_{DZ})$	.14	49	.62	.24
ML fit:				
Н	.43 (85, .85)	.00 (69, .69)	.85 (.29, .93)	.40(90, .90)
h <sup>2</sup>	.18	.00	.72	.16
c	.53 (79, .79)	.50 (70, .70)	.00 (72, .72)	.76(90, .90)
c <sup>2</sup>	.28	.25	.00	.58
e	.73 (.53, .91)	.86 (.70, 1.00)	53 (73,38)	51(-1.00,36)
e <sup>2</sup>	.53	.74	.28	.26

Note: Confidence intervals of  $h^2_F$  were estimated based on Appendix 6 (reference[54];)  $h^2_H$  was cited in (reference[55];) ML, Maximum Likelihood fit, (reference[56];) *h*: path coefficient for additive genetic; *c*: path coefficient for shared environment; and *e*: path coefficient for specific environment.

In addition to the classical estimation of  $h^2$ , we applied the structural equation modeling package Mx [38] which allows the explicit representation of observed and latent variables. The advantage of this method lies in the ability to best fit the observed data according to path models that hypothesize varying degrees of additive and non-additive contributions as well as shared and unique environmental contributions. We chose a conservative approach, setting, the expected genetic correlation among DZ twins, to 0.5 and used the standard twin analysis path. Table 3 shows the heritability estimates for three attentional networks and mean RT (and 95% confidence limits). The contributions to the additive genetic variance  $(h^2)$ , common environmental variance  $(c^2)$  and unique environmental variance (e<sup>2</sup>) values are given. Interestingly, the  $h^2$ s were in agreement with those calculated using the classical approach. The effect of conflict was highly heritable ( $h^2 = 0.72$ ) while low heritabilities were observed for alerting and reaction time and  $(h^2 =$ 0.18 and 0.16 respectively).

## Discussion

Because of the small Ns involved in this study, only the effect of conflict is significantly different than 0 and this is due to the very small correlation found in the DZ twins. The correlation among DZ twins in conflict is suspiciously low because it is a smaller number than for any of the other networks this, of course, would inflate the overall heritability of the conflict network. To compare the heritability of the various networks would take a much larger study. For example, a power calculation suggests that with the current size of the effect it would take more than 600 pairs to reach significance for the alerting network.

Nonetheless there is some indication favoring the heritability of the executive network. The heritability of the executive network has been observed in other conflict tasks such as the Stroop color-word task [39] which also activates the cingulate and other frontal areas [6]. However, the flanker task has an advantage over the Stroop in that it does not involve language and our results show considerably higher heritability.

The heritability of reaction time has also been observed in other twin studies on normal subjects [25]. In genetic studies where cognitive assays for executive control or general intelligence depend on reaction time measures, the heritability of lower levels of processing involved in RT may thus influence the performance scores. To avoid this we normalized all efficiency scores as a function of median RT.

There have been no reported twin studies on the alerting response *per se*, but this function, namely the maintenance of the alert state is inherently a part of many neuropsychological tasks. Interestingly, studies on depression and mood have shown deficits in simple reaction time tasks in patients that report sadness or depression [40,41]. These RT deficits are specific to left visual field (right hemisphere) and are consistent with the right frontal and parietal networks involved in alerting. Changes in the efficiency of the alerting network as a consequence of mood and depression are further supported by the findings of Liotti and Tucker [42] where subjects induced into sadness showed no improvement in RT when given alerting cues before target stimuli were presented. The mean probandwise MZ concordance rate for unipolar depression (40%) is more than twice that for DZ twins (17%) [43] as well as for narrowly defined depression (50%:29%) [44] suggesting the presence of genetic determinants.

In our study there is no evidence of heritability for the orienting network. This may be because of low power of this small study. There is evidence that genes can influence orienting in a task similar to ours. Alzheimer's Disease is a heritable condition with a well described visual orienting deficit and where associations have been found in unaffected relatives between visual orienting and the apoe gene [28]. In order to keep the ANT simple the peripheral orienting cues are 100% valid. This differs from similar tasks of visual orienting where usually only 80% of the orienting cues are valid. In the visual orienting studies of Greenwood *et al.* [28] the association with the apoe gene was observed only when this validity manipulation was utilized. It is possible that the use of 100% validity and the lack of any specific instruction may have made use of the cues a matter of individual strategy and thus both relatively unreliable and less subject to genetic influences. Future genetic studies may be more fruitful when the validity manipulation is included in the ANT.

While it is likely that our failure to find any evidence of heritability of the orienting network is due to either the small scale of our study or weaknesses in our assay, it is certainly possible that low correlations among MZ twins reflects differential experiences that these twin pairs undergo [45].

The advantage of using an endophenotypic measure can be extended when information about the neuroanatomy physiology and development underlying performance on the task is available. Knowledge of brain structures involved in performance will serve to constrain candidate gene identity and function and thus facilitate the integration of genetic information. In the case of the executive attention network, multiple imaging studies have shown activation of midline and lateral frontal areas. These areas are strongly modulated by dopamine and suggest the importance of examining genes that modulate dopamine. One of these genes the dopamine D4 receptor gene has been repeatedly associated with attentional disorders (see [46] for a review). While one allele of this gene (the 7 repeat) has been found not to be associated with abnormalities in interference in the Stroop effect, it is reasonable to examine other variants of this gene and other genes related to the dopamine system.

Studies of human development have shown that the executive attention network is related to effortful control as measured from caregiver reports of their child's behavior [47]. Effortful control has also been shown to be heritable in twin studies [27] using larger numbers of subjects and has been linked by behavioral studies to the ability to delay gratification, development of conscience and other aspects of self regulation [48].

## Conclusions

We have developed phenotypic measures for the three aspects of attention: alerting, orienting and executive control that have been the best described anatomically. Our small scale preliminary study of twins suggests that at least the dopamine rich executive network is appropriate for use in molecular genetic studies.

# Materials and Methods

### Subjects

Twenty six MZ twin pairs and 26 DZ same sex pairs participated in the study. Twins were recruited in the vicinity of Peking University via newspaper advertisement. Paid volunteer pairs traveled to the Department of Psychology to undergo a pre-test interview by a resident psychologist. Subjects with a history of psychopathology and/or taking medication were excluded. A total of 60 twin pairs interviewed, 52 aged matched pairs from ages 14–42 years old met inclusion criteria. All participants reported normal or corrected to normal vision. Zygosity status was determined by close inspection of physical features, birth records, parental interview and genotyping of buccal swab DNA at 6 polymorphic genetic loci: *maoa, drd3, dbh, maoa, b1adr* and *gsalpha*[49–53].

### Procedure

The ANT was performed as previously described [31]. Briefly, participants viewed the stimuli and responses were collected via two response buttons. Stimuli consisted of a row of 5 visually presented horizontal black lines, with arrowheads pointing leftward or rightward, against a gray background where the target was a leftward or rightward arrowhead at the center. This target was flanked on either side by two arrows in the same direction (congruent condition), or in the opposite direction (incongruent condition), or by lines (neutral condition). The participants' task was to identify the direction of the centrally presented arrow by pressing one button for the left direction and a second button for the right direction. Cues consisted of a 100 msec asterisk presented 400 msec before the target. There were four cue conditions: (1) no-cue, participants were shown a cross which was the same as the first fixation for 100 ms; (2) central-cue, which was at the central fixation point; (3) double-cue, in which cues were presented on the two possible target locations simultaneously (both above and below the fixation point); and (4) spatial-cue, cue was presented right on the target location (either above, below the central fix-ation point).

A session consisted of a 24-trial practice block and three experimental blocks of trials. Each experimental block consisted of 96 trials (48 conditions: 4 warning levels x 2 target locations x 2 target directions x 3 congruency conditions, with 2 repetitions). The presentation of trials was in a random order. Participants were instructed to focus on a centrally located fixation cross throughout the task, and to response as fast, also as accurately as possible. Twin pair participants performed 2 sessions of the ANT allowing a break in between sessions while the other member of the pair performed the task.

### Calculation of attention network efficiencies

Values for attention network efficiency were calculated from the raw reaction time data as previously described [31]. Medians were calculated for each test conditions (12 conditions in total: 4 cue levels by 3 target levels, combined target locations and target directions) to avoid the influence of the outliers. The alerting effect was calculated by subtracting the mean RT of the conditions with double cue from the mean RT of the conditions with no cue. Since neither of these conditions provides information on the spatial location of the target, the subtraction gives a pure measure of alerting. The orienting effect was calculated by subtracting the mean RT of the conditions with spatial cue from the mean RT of the conditions with center cue. In both conditions the subject is alert but only the spatial cue provided spatial information on where to orient. The conflict (executive) effect was calculated by subtracting the mean RT of congruent conditions from the mean RT of incongruent conditions.

### Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part by NSF grant BCS 9907831 and by grants from the J.S. McDonnell foundation to the Sackler Institute. The participation of the first author was supported by a grant from the Dewit Walace Reader's Digest fund to the Department of Psychiatry at Weill Medical College.

#### References

 Cornblatt BA, Malhotra AK: Impaired attention as an endophenotype for molecular genetic studies of schizophrenia. Am J Med Genet 2001, 105:11-15

- 2. Posner MI, Raichle ME: Images of Mind. New York: Scientific American Library; 1994
- Coull JT, Frith CD, Frackowiak RS, Grasby PM: A fronto-parietal network for rapid visual information processing: a PET study of sustained attention and working memory. *Neuropsychologia* 1996, 34:1085-1095
- 4. Posner MI, Petersen SE: The attention system of the human brain. Annu Rev Neurosci 1990, 13:25-42
- Corbetta M, Kincade JM, Ollinger JM, McAvoy MP, Shulman GL: Voluntary orienting is dissociated from target detection in human posterior parietal cortex. Nat Neurosci 2000, 3:292-297
- 6. Bush G, Luu P, Posner MI: Cognitive and emotional influences in anterior cingulate cortex. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2000, 4:215-222
- Witte EA, Marrocco RT: Alteration of brain noradrenergic activity in rhesus monkeys affects the alerting component of covert orienting. *Psychopharmacology* (Berl) 1997, 132:315-323
  Voytko ML, Olton DS, Richardson RT, Gorman LK, Tobin JR, Price
- Voytko ML, Olton DS, Richardson RT, Gorman LK, Tobin JR, Price DL: Basal forebrain lesions in monkeys disrupt attention but not learning and memory. J Neurosci 1994, 14:167-186
- Davidson MČ, Marrocco RT: Local infusion of scopolamine into intraparietal cortex slows covert orienting in rhesus monkeys. J Neurophysiol 2000, 83:1536-1549
- Simon H, Scatton B, Moal ML: Dopaminergic A10 neurones are involved in cognitive functions. Nature 1980, 286:150-151
- Brozoski TJ, Brown RM, Rosvold HE, Goldman PS: Cognitive deficit caused by regional depletion of dopamine in prefrontal cortex of rhesus monkey. Science 1979, 205:929-932
- 12. Rafal RD: Neglect. Curr Opin Neurobiol 1994, 4:231-236
- Owen AM, Roberts AC, Hodges JR, Summers BA, Polkey CE, Robbins TW: Contrasting mechanisms of impaired attentional setshifting in patients with frontal lobe damage or Parkinson's disease. Brain 1993, 116:1159-1175
- Geyer MA, Braff DL: Startle habituation and sensorimotor gating in schizophrenia and related animal models. Schizophr Bull 1987, 13:643-668
- Matthysse S, Holzman PS, Lange K: The genetic transmission of schizophrenia: application of Mendelian latent structure analysis to eye tracking dysfunctions in schizophrenia and affective disorder. J Psychiatr Res 1986, 20:57-67
- Pantelis C, Barber FZ, Barnes TR, Nelson HE, Owen AM, Robbins TW: Comparison of set-shifting ability in patients with chronic schizophrenia and frontal lobe damage. Schizophr Res 1999, 37:251-270
- Carter CS, Perlstein W, Ganguli R, Brar J, Mintun M, Cohen JD: Functional hypofrontality and working memory dysfunction in schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry 1998, 155:1285-1287
- Swaab-Barneveld H, de Sonneville L, Cohen-Kettenis P, Gielen A, Buitelaar J, Van Engeland H: Visual sustained attention in a child psychiatric population. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2000, 39:651-659
- Townsend J, Courchesne E, Covington J, Westerfield M, Harris NS, Lyden P, Lowry TP, Press GA: Spatial attention deficits in patients with acquired or developmental cerebellar abnormality. J Neurosci 1999, 19:5632-5643
- Parasuraman R, Greenwood PM, Haxby JV, Grady CL: Visuospatial attention in dementia of the Alzheimer type. Brain 1992, 115:711-733
- NIMH: Genetics and Mental Disorders: Report of the NIMH's Genetics Workgroup.1999 [http://www.nimh.nih.gov/publist/ 984268.htm]
- Cornblatt BA, Risch NJ, Faris G, Friedman D, Erlenmeyer-Kimling L: The Continuous Performance Test, identical pairs version (CPT-IP): I. New findings about sustained attention in normal families. Psychiatry Res 1988, 26:223-238
- 23. Bartfai A, Pedersen NL, Asarnow RF, Schalling D: Genetic factors for the span of apprehension test: a study of normal twins. *Psychiatry Res* 1991, **38**:115-124
- 24. Myles-Worsley M, Coon H: Genetic and developmental factors in spontaneous selective attention: a study of normal twins. *Psychiatry Res* 1997, **71**:163-174
- Cannon TD, Huttunen MO, Lonnqvist J, Tuulio-Henriksson A, Pirkola T, Glahn D, Finkelstein J, Hietanen M, Kaprio J, Koskenvuo M: The inheritance of neuropsychological dysfunction in twins discordant for schizophrenia. Hum Genet 2000, 67:369-382
- 26. Pardo PJ, Knesevich MA, Vogler GP, Pardo JV, Towne B, Cloninger CR, Posner MI: Genetic and state variables of neurocognitive

dysfunction in schizophrenia: a twin study. Schizophr Bull 2000, 26:459-477

- Goldsmith HH, Lemery KS, Buss KA, Campos JJ: Genetic analyses of focal aspects of infant temperament. Dev Psychol 1999, 35:972-985
- Greenwood PM, Sunderland T, Friz JL, Parasuraman R: Genetics and visual attention: Selective deficits in healthy adult carriers of the epsilon 4 allele of the apolipoprotein E gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2000, 97:11661-11666
- Freedman R, Coon H, Myles-Worsley M, Orr-Urtreger A, Olincy A, Davis A, Polymeropoulos M, Holik J, Hopkins J, Hoff M, Rosenthal J, Waldo MC, Reimherr F, Wender P, Yaw J, Young DA, Breese CR, Adams C, Patterson D, Adler LE, Kruglyak L, Leonard S, Byerley W: Linkage of a neurophysiological deficit in schizophrenia to a chromosome 15 locus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1997, 94:587-592
- 30. Swanson J, Oosterlaan J, Murias M, Schuck S, Flodman P, Spence MA, Wasdell M, Ding Y, Chi HC, Smith M, Mann M, Carlson C, Kennedy JL, Sergeant JA, Leung P, Zhang YP, Sadeh A, Chen C, Whalen CK, Babb KA, Moyzis R, Posner MI: Attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder children with a 7-repeat allele of the dopamine receptor D4 gene have extreme behavior but normal performance on critical neuropsychological tests of attention. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2000, 97:4754-4759
- 31. Fan J, McCandliss BD, Sommer T, Raz A, Posner MI: **Testing the efficiency and independence of attentional networks.** J. Cognitive Neurosci
- 32. Posner MI, Snyder CR, Davidson BJ: Attention and the detection of signals. J. of Expt. Psychol: Gen 1980, 109:160-174
- Eriksen BA, Eriksen CW: Effects of noise letters upon the identification of a target letter in a nonsearch task. Perception and Psychophysics 1974, 16:143-149
- McClearn GE, Johansson B, Berg S, Pedersen NL, Ahern F, Perill SA, Plomin R: Substantial genetic influence on cognitive abilities in twins 80 or more years old. Science 1997, 276:1560-1563
- 35. Kamin LJ: Twin studies, heritability, and intelligence. Science 1997, 278:1385-1387
- Feldman MW, Otto SP: Twin studies, heritability, and intelligence. Science 1997, 278:1383-1387
- Neisser U, Boodoo G, Bouchard TJ, Boykin AW, Brody N: Intelligence: Knowns and unknowns. Am. Psychol 1996, 51:77-101
- Neale MC, Boker SM, Xie G, Maes HH: Mx: Statistical Modeling. 5th edition ed. Virginia Commonwealth University; 1999
- Preiss J, Hynek K, Dvorakova M, Zvarova J: Neuropsychological tests and smooth pursuit eye movements in schizophrenic twins. Cesk Psychiatr 1993, 89:276-286
- Ladavas E, Nicoletti R, Umilta C, Rizzolatti G: Right hemisphere interference during negative affect: a reaction time study. Neuropsychologia 1984, 22:479-485
- 41. Liotti M, Sava D, Rizzolatti G, Caffarra P: Differential hemispheric asymmetries in depression and anxiety: a reaction-time study. Biol Psychiatry 1991, 29:887-899
- 42. Liotti M, Tucker DM: Right hemisphere sensitivity to arousal and depression. Brain Cogn 1992, 18:138-151
- 43. Torgersen S: Genetic factors in moderately severe and mild affective disorders. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1986, 43:222-226
- Kendler KS, Pedersen N, Johnson L, Neale MC, Mathe AA: A pilot Swedish twin study of affective illness, including hospital- and population-ascertained subsamples. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1993, 50:699-700
- Deater-Deckard K, Pike A, Petrill SA, Cutting AL, Hughes C, O'Connor CG: Nonshared environmental processes in social-emotional development: an observational study of identical twin differences in the preschool period. Developmental Science 2001, 4/2F:1-6
- Swanson J, Posner MI, Fossella J, Wasdell M, Sommer T, Fan J: Genes and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Curr Psychiatry Rep 2001, 3:92-100
- Gerardi-Caulton G: Sensitivity to spatial conflict and the development of self regulation in children 24–36 months of age. Developmental Science 2000, 3:397-404
- Posner MI, Rothbart MK: Developing mechanisms of self regulation. Development and Psychopathology 2000, 12:427-441
- Sabol SZ, Hu S, Hamer D: A functional polymorphism in the monoamine oxidase A gene promoter. Hum Genet 1998, 103:273-279

- 50. Cubells JF, Kobayashi K, Nagatsu T, Kidd KK, Kidd JR, Calafell F, Kranzler HR, Ichinose H, Gelernter J: Population genetics of a functional variant of the dopamine beta-hydroxylase gene (DBH). Am J Med Genet 1997, 74:374-379
- 51. Hotamisligil GS, Breakefield XO: Human monoamine oxidase A gene determines levels of enzyme activity. Am J Hum Genet 1991, 49:383-392
- 52. Maqbool A, Hall AS, Ball SG, Balmforth AJ: Common polymorphisms of betal-adrenoceptor: identification and rapid screening assay. Lancet 1999, 353:897
- 53. Jia H, Hingorani AD, Sharma P, Hopper R, Dickerson C, Trutwein D, Lloyd DD, Brown MJ: Association of the G(s)alpha gene with essential hypertension and response to beta-blockade. Hypertension 1999, 34:8-14
- 54. Vogel F, Motulsky AG: Human Genetics: Problems and Approaches. New York: Springer; 1986 Plomin R, DeFries JC, McClearn GE: Behavioral Genetics, A
- 55. Primer. San Francisco: Freeman; 1980
- 56. Neale NC, Cardon LR: Methodology for Genetic Studies of Twins and Families. Dordrech, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic; 1992

